

The St. Lucie County LMS Working Group determined that proposed LMS mitigation projects are to be evaluated and prioritized in a Project Prioritized List (PPL) based on the following scoring system and procedures:

1. Projects will be scored only on the basis of documents submitted by the LMS Working Group member agency proposing the mitigation project. Public, Nonprofit and Faith Based organizations within the LMS Working Group are allowed to submit mitigation projects. An LMS Working Group member agency can submit an application to mitigate a facility owned by a For-Profit agency, if that facility is considered to be a critical facility and used for emergency response and recovery operations.
2. Projects may be submitted to the LMS Working Group at any time. Organizations are encouraged to do so as soon as the need is identified. However, projects are evaluated and ranked by the LMS Working Group once a year. Organizations may be requested to submit new/ or existing project proposals at least one month in advance of the next LMS Working Group meeting to be evaluated, ranked, and included in the Project Prioritized List (PPL) for the readiness to submit projects in an upcoming HMGP application period.
3. Each project will be scored on the eleven Scoring Factors listed in Table 6.2 of the LMS.
4. Each Scoring Factor is assigned point criteria ranging from 0 to 3.
5. Each Scoring Factor is assigned a weight. The weight indicates the relative importance of each Scoring Factor.
6. To determine a project's score on each scoring factor, the number of points is multiplied by the weight.
7. A project's total score is the sum of the scores of the eleven Scoring Factors. The highest possible score is 120.
8. The LMS Working Group will evaluate applications, score each application, meet to confirm a final score, and rank the project within the PPL.

Project #: _____

PPL Scoring Factors and Weights

Project Title:

Scoring Factor	Point Criteria - 3 Pts.	Point Criteria - 2 Pts.	Point Criteria - 1 Pt.	Point Criteria - 0 Pts.	Weight	Points	Score
1. Consistency with LMS Goals	Addresses Goal 1 of the LMS Goal 1: Reduce the loss of life and property.	Addresses Goal 2 of the LMS: Achieve safe and fiscally sound, sustainable communities.	Addresses Goal 3 and 4 of the LMS: Facilitate orderly recovery during post-disaster redevelopment; optimize the effective use of all available resources.	Does not address any of the LMS goals.	4		
2. Consistency with Hazard Impact	Addresses at least one (1) of the three highest hazards: A. Wind Event (hurricane, tornado, tropical storm) B. Flooding C. Epidemic	Addresses at least one of the three (3) second highest hazards: A. Terrorism/Sabotage B. Hazardous Materials Accident, Wellfield/Surface, Water Contaminations C. Wildfire	Addresses at least one of the remaining hazards: A. Radiological Hazard B. Power/ Communications Failure C. Transportation System Accident D. Drought. E. Erosion F. Agricultural Pest/Disease G. Civil Disturbance H. Extreme Temperature I. Immigration Crisis J. Military Ordinance K. Seismic (Sinkholes, Earthquakes) L. Thunderstorm/Lightning	Fails to address any of the listed LMS disasters.	4		
3. Consistency with Laws and/or Policies	Consistent with existing laws/policies	New legislation or policy changes needed but no conflicts identified	New legislation or policy changes needed but may conflict with existing laws, regulations and /or policies.	Inconsistent with laws and/ or policies.	2		
4. Consistency with Local Plans	Supported in both the Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan and a jurisdiction's Comprehensive Plan	Supported in either the Comprehensive Emergency Management Plan or a jurisdiction's Comprehensive Plan	Supported in other government plan	Not supported in any government plan.	4		
5. Scope of Benefits - Jurisdictions	Benefits the health and safety of all Municipalities and the unincorporated county	Benefits the health and safety of 2 to 3 jurisdictions (municipality or the unincorporated county)	Benefits the health and safety of 1 jurisdiction (municipality or the unincorporated county)	No map = 0	2		
6. Scope of Benefits - County Population	Benefits 67% - 100% of the County Population	Benefits 33% to 66% of the County Population	Benefits 1% to 32% of the County Population	No map = 0	4		
7. Importance of Benefits - Essential Services	Needed for essential Services: Medical, Shelter, Custodial Care, Educational, Emergency, Utility, Police, Food	N/A	N/A	Not needed for essential services	4		
8. Importance of Benefits - Critical Facilities	The project facility is a designated primary critical facility	The project facility is a designated secondary critical facility	N/A	The project facility is not a designated critical facility.	4		
9. Benefit/Cost Ratio	4.0 or higher	Between 2.0 - 3.9	Between 1.0 - 1.9	Less than 1, a formal analysis not included, or analysis found inadequate as decided by the Scoring Review Sub-Committee.	4		
10. Financing	Eligible for more than one grant and is scheduled for future funding in jurisdiction's approved budget or capital plan	Eligible for grant funding from at least 2 grant sources	Eligible for grant funding	Not scheduled for funding in jurisdiction's approved budget or capital plan and is not eligible for grant funding	4		
11. Time Necessary for Implementing	Less than 2 years	2 to 3 years	3 to 4 years	Greater than 4 years	4		
						Total	0